

MACS Michigan Appellate Assigned
Counsel System

2014 Annual Report

The 2014 MAACS Annual Report is submitted to the Appellate Defender Commission, Michigan Supreme Court, Michigan Legislature, Michigan Governor, and the People of the State of Michigan.

Appellate Defender Commission

John Nussbaumer, Chair, State Bar Designee

Thomas W. Cranmer, Vice-Chair, Supreme Court Designee

Thomas J. Adams, Governor's Designee

Honorable William Caprathe, Michigan Judges Association Designee

Judith Gracey, State Bar Designee

Thomas G. McNeill, Supreme Court Designee

Douglas Messing, Court of Appeals Designee

Michigan Appellate Assigned Counsel System

Dawn Van Hoek, Appellate Defender

Bradley R. Hall, MAACS Administrator

1375 S. Washington Ave., Suite 300

Lansing, MI 48913

Phone: 517-334-1200

www.mimaacs.org

Table of Contents

MAACS Mission Statement	2
Executive Summary.....	2
History	2
Profile.....	3
Office Location	4
Training	4
Investigations of Standards Compliance.....	4
2015 Goals	4
Appendix	6

MAACS Mission Statement

MAACS's mission is to compile and maintain a statewide roster of attorneys eligible and willing to accept criminal appellate defense assignments, enhance the capacity of the private bar to render effective assistance of appellate counsel to indigent defendants, and improve the administration of justice through comprehensive roster attorney oversight, caseload management, roster right-sizing, and attorney training, while at the same time providing a cost-effective service that results in a favorable return on Michigan taxpayer investments.

Executive Summary

On September 17, 2014, the Michigan Supreme Court issued Administrative Order 2014-18, which directed the operational merger of SADO and MAACS. The Order states as follows: "On order of the Court, at the request of the Appellate Defender Commission, effective immediately, to promote efficiency and improve the administration of assigned appellate counsel for indigent defendants, the Court orders that operations of the two offices be merged. The State Appellate Defender shall serve as administrator of the Michigan Assigned Appellate Counsel System."

Administrative Order 2014-18 further directed "the Appellate Defender Commission to review operations of the MAACS and submit a proposed administrative order that reflects the consolidation of the two offices and incorporates proposed updates or revisions that the commission recommends." Pursuant to a January 21, 2015 Amendment to Administrative Order 2014-18, the deadline for submitting a Proposed Administrative Order revising MAACS operations is October 1, 2015.

Subsequent to the formal merger of SADO and MAACS, SADO took over day-to-day management of MAACS, while also beginning an operational review and assessment as directed by the Supreme Court. On January 4, 2015, a new MAACS Administrator was appointed and began work in MAACS's Lansing office.

History

The system for providing indigent appellate felony defense is governed by the seven-member Appellate Defender Commission, pursuant to the Appellate Defender Act, MCL 780.711 *et seq.* The Michigan Supreme Court approved the MAACS Regulations and the Minimum Standards for Indigent Criminal Appellate Defense Services in Administrative Order 1981-7. The Regulations have been revised in Administrative Orders 1985-3 and 1989-3. The Minimum Standards were revised in Administrative Order 2004-6. The Regulations govern the duties of the MAACS administration, the operation of the state-wide appellate case-assignment system and define

regulatory obligations that roster attorneys must meet. The Standards set the representational benchmark for all roster attorneys. MAACS began administration of the system in 1985.

Indigent appeals are assigned to members of the MAACS roster of attorneys. These attorneys are private assigned counsel. They are paid through County appropriations to the Circuit Courts and pursuant to fee schedules set by the Circuit Courts. Appeals are also assigned to SADO, the state-funded appellate public defender office established in 1969.

MAACS's regulatory structure has four primary components:

1. It maintains the statewide roster of attorneys eligible and willing to receive assignments.
2. It manages the circuit court case assignment process. It ensures that cases are appropriately matched to qualified attorneys and are correctly distributed between roster attorneys and SADO.
3. It engages in roster oversight regarding the quality of representation provided by roster attorneys.
4. It acts to enhance the capacity of the private bar to render effective assistance of appellate counsel to indigent defendants.

Pursuant to Administrative Order 2014-18, MAACS is currently evaluating this regulatory structure.

Profile

As of December 31, 2014, the status of the statewide roster was 134 total members; consisting of 73 Level 1 attorneys, 38 Level 2 attorneys, 20 Level 3 attorneys and 3 Level 4 attorneys. Attorney levels are established by the Regulations, and are based on a combination of the seriousness of the offense of conviction and the type of proceeding resulting in the conviction.

In 2014, appellate counsel was assigned in 3194 cases, representing a decrease of 137 cases, or 4.1%, from 2013 (3331 cases). MAACS Roster attorneys were assigned in 2309 cases, or 72.3% of the total appellate assignments.

The Appellate Defender Commission awarded the 2014 Barbara R. Levine Award for Excellence in Appellate Advocacy to Roster attorney Michael Faraone.

Office Location

Work continued in 2014 to determine a suitable location for combined Lansing office space for SADO and MAACS. In early 2015, a lease was signed, and MAACS will relocate office space in mid-2015.

Training

The annual MAACS training was co-sponsored with SADO and held on October 16, 2014, in Lansing, Michigan, and simulcast to other locations around the state.

Investigations of Standards Compliance

MAACS records indicate that 103 complaints involving 48 attorneys were investigated and resolved in 2014. 27 of those complaints resulted in a finding of at least one minimum standard violation. 31 total standard violations were found in 2014. These involved Standard 5 (8 violations), Standard 6 (18 violations), Standard 7 (4 violations), and Standard 8 (1 violation).

2015 Goals

In light of the opportunities presented by a new management team, and an expectation of a new regulatory model, MAACS has established the following goals for 2015:

1. Successful implementation of a Supreme Court administrative order and related MAACS Regulations which enhance the efficiency of the assigned counsel system and the overall quality of indigent defense services on appeal.
2. Successful implementation of a pilot project exploring a regional assignment scheme, uniform attorney fees, and the transition of administrative responsibilities from the circuit courts to MAACS.
3. Successful implementation of significant cost-saving incentives for Roster participation, including discounted legal research services and other expenses related to managing an assigned appellate practice.
4. Intensive retention review of existing Roster attorneys, and non-retention of attorneys who raise significant quality concerns.
5. Targeted expansion of the Roster with quality entry-level and experienced appellate attorneys.

6. Greater outreach to stakeholders such as circuit court judges, appellate judges, court administrators, county administration, Local Designating Authorities, and roster attorneys. This should include personal meetings, financial and case-related data, group presentations, development of website content, and other targeted outreach efforts.
7. Greater collaboration with appellate courts for case information related to attorney performance evaluations.
8. Greater analysis of cost- and performance-related data.
9. Enhanced training programs for Roster attorneys.
10. Transition to paperless administrative processes, allowing for greater data collection and efficiency.
11. Progress toward the elimination of outlier circuit court policies which unnecessarily increase the costs and difficulties of accepting appellate assigned cases.
12. Targeted participation in litigation and other advocacy regarding access to counsel.
13. Targeted participation in litigation and other advocacy regarding fees.
14. Progress toward the improvement of assigned counsel fees and the adoption of a uniform attorney fee and expense policy for all circuit courts.
15. Progress toward the implementation of a comprehensive case management system for the assignment of cases, the capture of all case-related data, the collection of all pleadings, the creation and analysis of payment vouchers, and complaint tracking and resolution.

Appendix

- A. Total Appellate Assignments.
- B. Assigned Appeals, by Case Type and Level, by Circuit
- C. Changes in Appellate Assignments 2010-2012, by Circuit.
- D. Appeals by Jurisdictional Type, by Circuit.
- E. MAACS Assigned Appeals, by Case Type and Level.
- F. SADO Assigned Appeals, by Case Type and Level.
- G. Appeals of Resentencings, by Circuit.

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
APPELLATE ASSIGNMENTS
JANUARY 1, 2014 - DECEMBER 31, 2014**

	Total No. --- <u>Percent of Grand Total</u>	MAACS No. <u>Percent of MAACS Total</u>	SADO No. --- <u>Percent of SADO Total</u>	<u>SADO Percent of Total Case Type</u>
<u>Level I</u>				
Plea/PV/Resentencing	928 29.0%	638 27.5%	290 32.7%	31.3%
Waiver Trial/INT/6.5/PPO Evidentiary Hearing	41 1.3%	28 1.2%	13 1.5%	31.7%
Jury Trial	84 2.6%	53 2.3%	31 3.5%	36.9%
Level I Total	1053 32.9%	719 31.0%	334 37.6%	31.7%
<u>Level II</u>				
Plea/PV/Resentencing	1327 41.4%	979 42.3%	348 39.2%	26.2%
Waiver Trial/INT/6.5/PPO Evidentiary Hearing	73 2.3%	45 1.9%	28 3.2%	38.4%
Jury Trial	129 4.0%	89 3.8%	40 4.5%	31.0%
Level II Total	1529 47.7%	1113 48.0%	416 46.8%	27.2%
<u>Level III</u>				
Jury Trial	567 17.7%	439 18.9%	128 14.4%	22.6%
PV/Resentencing	51 1.6%	43 1.9%	8 0.9%	15.7%
INT/6.5/WT	5 0.2%	3 0.1%	2 0.2%	40.0%
Level III Total	623 19.4%	485 20.9%	138 15.5%	22.2%
Prosecution Appeals of Dismissals				0.0%
Miscellaneous				0.0%
Motion for Relief				
GRAND TOTAL	3205	2317	888	27.7%

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
1st Hillsdale				7	5		12				0				0	12
2nd Berrien				40	33		73				0	3	2	8	13	86
3rd Wayne		4		133	276	23	432	35	49		84	41	34	197	272	792
4th Jackson				23	26	1	50		1		1	1	1	16	18	69
5th Barry				6	8		14								0	14
6th Oakland		1		51	164	3	218		2		2	5	11	59	75	296
7th Genesee		1		37	56	3	96	1			1		1	28	29	127
8th Ionia/Montcalm				11	13		24				0	2	3	1	6	30
9th Kalamazoo				28	41		69	1			1	2	3	20	25	95
10th Saginaw				28	70	5	103				0	1	3	27	31	134
11th Alger/Luce Schoolcraft				3	5		8				0	1		1	2	10
12th Baraga/Houghton/ Keweenaw							0				0			3	3	3
13th Antrim/Grand Traverse/Leelanau				15	14	1	30				0		1	4	5	35

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total		
				Level				Level				Level					
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total	
14th Muskegon				6	38		44		2			2	2	2	8	12	58
15th Branch				16	6		22					0	1	1		2	24
16th Macomb				46	91	2	139					0	2	7	33	42	181
17th Kent				88	89	4	181	1	4			5	5	10	31	46	232
18th Bay				16	12		28					0		3	3	6	34
19th Benzie/Manistee		1		5	2		7					0		1		1	9
20th Ottawa				3	5		8					0	1		8	9	17
21st Isabella				21	7	1	29					0		1	2	3	32
22nd Washtenaw				57	22		79	1	3			4	2	6	10	18	101
23rd Iosco/Oscoda				12	10		22					0			3	3	25
24th Sanilac				3			3					0		1		1	4
25th Marquette				2	3		5					0		1	2	3	8
26th Alcona/Alpena/ Montmorency/ Presque Isle				5	9		14					0		1		1	15

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY				Grand Total
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	
27th Newaygo/Oceana				6	9	2	17				0	1	1	2	4	21
28th Missaukee/Wexford				7	7		14				0			2	2	16
29th Clinton/Gratiot				8	16		24				0	2		4	6	30
30th Ingham				21	30	1	52				0	3	4	15	22	74
31st St. Clair				7	30	1	38		1		1		6	12	18	57
32nd Gogebic/Ontonagon				4			4				0			2	2	6
33rd Charlevoix				2	1		3				0	1	1	2	4	7
34th Arenac/Ogemaw Roscommon				6	12		18				0		2	2	4	22
35th Shiawassee				6	11		17				0		1		1	18
36th Van Buren		2		5	5		10		1		1			4	4	17
37th Calhoun				28	22	1	51				0		2	11	13	64
38th Monroe				14	7	1	22				0			1	1	23
39th Lenawee				21	4		25				0				0	25

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
40th Lapeer				3	9		12				0		1		1	13
41st Dickinson/Iron Menominee				4	1		5				0				0	5
42nd Midland					4		4				0	1		2	3	7
43rd Cass		1		14	10		24		1		1		1	2	3	29
44th Livingston				15	25		40		3		3		1	7	8	51
45th St. Joseph				1	7		8				0	1	1	1	3	11
46th Crawford/Kalkaska Otsego				8	12		20				0	2	3	4	9	29
47th Delta				3	1		4				0		3	2	5	9
48th Allegan				9	14	1	24				0	2		2	4	28
49th Mecosta/Osceola				11	7		18				0		2	1	3	21
50th Chippewa/Mackinaw		1		10	14	1	25		1	1	2		1	2	3	31
51st Lake/Mason				6	5		11				0	1		1	2	13
52nd Huron				1	5		6				0			3	3	9

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total		
				Level			Total	Level			Total	Level				Total	
				1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3			
53rd Cheboygan				7	4		11				0			2	2	13	
54th Tuscola				14	12		26				0		2	4	6	32	
55th Clare/Gladwin				5	14		19				0			2	2	21	
56th Eaton				13	18		31				0		1	8	9	40	
57th Emmet				7	6		13				0	1	1	5	7	20	
ALL CIRCUITS TOTALS	0	11	0	928	1327	51	2306	39	68	1	108	84	127	569	780	3205	
				<u>Level 1</u>			1051										
				<u>Level 2</u>			1522										
				<u>Level 3</u>			621										
Total							3194										

E:\ANNUAL REPORTS\ANNUAL REPORT 2010\AN_LEV_TYP_RPT.QPW

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
APPELLATE ASSIGNMENT CHANGES BY CIRCUIT 2012 - 2014**

	2012 TOTAL	2013 TOTAL	12-13% CHANGE #/%	2014 TOTAL	13-14% CHANGE #/%	12-14% CHANGE #/%
1st Hillsdale	28	22	-6 -21.4%	12	-10 -45.5%	-16 -57.1%
2nd Berrien	85	86	1 1.2%	86	0 0.0%	1 1.2%
3rd Wayne	737	856	119 16.1%	792	-64 -7.5%	55 7.5%
4th Jackson	86	73	-13 -15.1%	69	-4 -5.5%	-17 -19.8%
5th Barry	14	17	3 21.4%	14	-3 -17.6%	0 0.0%
6th Oakland	283	293	10 3.5%	296	3 1.0%	13 4.6%
7th Genesee	110	129	19 17.3%	127	-2 -1.6%	17 15.5%
8th Ionia/Montcalm	19	28	9 47.4%	30	2 7.1%	11 57.9%
9th Kalamazoo	90	94	4 4.4%	95	1 1.1%	5 5.6%
10th Saginaw	189	124	-65 -34.4%	134	10 8.1%	-55 -29.1%
11th Alger/Luce Schoolcraft	7	14	7 100.0%	10	-4 -28.6%	3 42.9%
12th Baraga/Houghton/ Keweenaw	4	4	0 100.0%	3	-1 0.0%	-1 -25.0%
13th Antrim/Grand Traverse/Leelanau	48	35	-13 -27.1%	35	0 0.0%	-13 -27.1%
14th Muskegon	65	60	-5 -7.7%	58	-2 -3.3%	-7 -10.8%

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
APPELLATE ASSIGNMENT CHANGES BY CIRCUIT 2012 - 2014**

	2012 TOTAL	2013 TOTAL	12-13% CHANGE #/%	2014 TOTAL	13-14% CHANGE #/%	12-14% CHANGE #/%
15th Branch	9	14	5 55.6%	24	10 71.4%	15 166.7%
16th Macomb	208	206	-2 -1.0%	181	-25 -12.1%	-27 -13.0%
17th Kent	235	216	-19 -8.1%	232	16 7.4%	-3 -1.3%
18th Bay	48	45	-3 -6.3%	34	-11 -24.4%	-14 -29.2%
19th Benzie/Manistee	7	9	2 28.6%	9	0 0.0%	2 28.6%
20th Ottawa	22	20	-2 -9.1%	17	-3 -15.0%	-5 -22.7%
21st Isabella	40	40	0 0.0%	32	-8 -20.0%	-8 -20.0%
22nd Washtenaw	84	94	10 11.9%	101	7 7.4%	17 20.2%
23rd Iosco/Oscoda	10	8	-2 -20.0%	25	17 212.5%	15 150.0%
24th Sanilac	9	4	-5 -55.6%	4	0 0.0%	-5 -55.6%
25th Marquette	13	20	7 53.8%	8	-12 -60.0%	-5 -38.5%
26th Alcona/Alpena/ Montmorency/ Presque Isle	8	11	3 37.5%	15	4 36.4%	7 87.5%
27th Newaygo/Oceana	10	11	1 10.0%	21	10 90.9%	11 110.0%
28th Missaukee/Wexford	21	15	-6 -28.6%	16	1 6.7%	-5 -23.8%
29th Clinton/Gratiot	26	29	3 11.5%	30	1 3.4%	4 15.4%

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
APPELLATE ASSIGNMENT CHANGES BY CIRCUIT 2012 - 2014**

	2012 TOTAL	2013 TOTAL	12-13% CHANGE #/%	2014 TOTAL	13-14% CHANGE #/%	12-14% CHANGE #/%
30th Ingham	53	85	32 60.4%	74	-11 -12.9%	21 39.6%
31st St. Clair	39	44	5 12.8%	57	13 29.5%	18 46.2%
32nd Gogebic/Ontonagon	5	8	3 60.0%	6	-2 -25.0%	1 20.0%
33rd Charlevoix	8	2	-6 -75.0%	7	5 250.0%	-1 -12.5%
34th Arenac/Ogemaw Roscommon	10	17	7 70.0%	22	5 29.4%	12 120.0%
35th Shiawassee	13	11	-2 -15.4%	18	7 63.6%	5 38.5%
36th Van Buren	22	25	3 13.6%	17	-8 -32.0%	-5 -22.7%
37th Calhoun	91	83	-8 -8.8%	64	-19 -22.9%	-27 -29.7%
38th Monroe	29	25	-4 -13.8%	23	-2 -8.0%	-6 -20.7%
39th Lenawee	35	37	2 5.7%	25	-12 -32.4%	-10 -28.6%
40th Lapeer	8	6	-2 -25.0%	13	7 116.7%	5 62.5%
41st Dickinson/Iron Menominee	10	11	1 10.0%	5	-6 -54.5%	-5 -50.0%
42nd Midland	18	14	-4 -22.2%	7	-7 -50.0%	-11 -61.1%
43rd Cass	18	28	10 55.6%	29	1 3.6%	11 61.1%
44th Livington	40	50	10 25.0%	51	1 2.0%	11 27.5%

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
APPELLATE ASSIGNMENT CHANGES BY CIRCUIT 2012 - 2014**

	2012 TOTAL	2013 TOTAL	12-13% CHANGE #/%	2014 TOTAL	13-14% CHANGE #/%	12-14% CHANGE #/%
45th St. Joseph	27	25	-2 -7.4%	11	-14 -56.0%	-16 -59.3%
46th Crawford/Kalkaska Otsego	22	24	2 9.1%	29	5 20.8%	7 31.8%
47th Delta	7	5	-2 -28.6%	9	4 80.0%	2 28.6%
48th Allegan	32	34	2 6.3%	28	-6 -17.6%	-4 -12.5%
49th Mecosta/Osceola	21	25	4 19.0%	21	-4 -16.0%	0 0.0%
50th Chippewa/Mackinaw	29	38	9 31.0%	31	-7 -18.4%	2 6.9%
51st Lake/Mason	13	11	-2 -15.4%	13	2 18.2%	0 0.0%
52nd Huron	4	14	10 250.0%	9	-5 -35.7%	5 125.0%
53rd Cheboygan	13	9	-4 -30.8%	13	4 44.4%	0 0.0%
54th Tuscola	24	29	5 20.8%	32	3 10.3%	8 33.3%
55th Clare/Gladwin	26	21	-5 -19.2%	21	0 0.0%	-5 -19.2%
56th Eaton	22	54	32 145.5%	40	-14 -25.9%	18 81.8%
57th Emmet	31	19	-12 -38.7%	20	1 5.3%	-11 -35.5%
All Circuit Totals	3,185	3,331	146 4.6%	3,205	-126 -3.8%	20 0.6%

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	CLAIM					APPLICATION						Grand Total
	Trials	Resent	PV	Other	Total	Trials	Pleas	Resent	PV	Other	Total	
1st Hillsdale					0		12				12	12
2nd Berrien	11				11	2	62	1	10		75	86
3rd Wayne	312	24	4		340	44	362	12	30	4	452	792
4th Jackson	17	1			18	2	47		2		51	69
5th Barry					0	11			3		14	14
6th Oakland	72	3	1		76	5	179	3	32	1	220	296
7th Genesee	25	4			29	5	73	5	14	1	98	127
8th Ionia/Montcalm	6				6		22	1	1		24	30
9th Kalamazoo	25		1		26	1	53	7	8		69	95
10th Saginaw	30	5			35	1	70	4	24		99	134
11th Alger/Luce Schoolcraft	1	1			2	1	7				8	10
12th Baraga/Houghton/ Keweenaw	3				3						0	3
13th Antrim/Grand Traverse/Leelanau	5		1		6		27	1	1		29	35

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	CLAIM					APPLICATION						Grand Total
	Trials	Resent	PV	Other	Total	Trials	Pleas	Resent	PV	Other	Total	
14th Muskegon	11		1		12	3	40		3		46	58
15th Branch	2				2		17		5		22	24
16th Macomb	41	3			44	1	102	1	33		137	181
17th Kent	47	4			51	4	153	5	19		181	232
18th Bay	5				5	1	18	3	7		29	34
19th Benzie/Manistee	1				1		6		1	1	8	9
20th Ottawa	8		1		9	1	7				8	17
21st Isabella	3	1			4		19		9		28	32
22nd Washtenaw	21		1		22	1	76	1	1		79	101
23rd Iosco/Oscoda	3				3		18		4		22	25
24th Sanilac	1				1		3				3	4
25th Marquette	3				3		5				5	8
26th Alcona/Alpena/ Montmorency/ Presque Isle	1				1		13		1		14	15

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	CLAIM					APPLICATION						Grand Total
	Trials	Resent	PV	Other	Total	Trials	Pleas	Resent	PV	Other	Total	
27th Newaygo/Oceana	4	2			6		14		1		15	21
28th Missaukee/Wexford	2				2		13		1		14	16
29th Clinton/Gratiot	6				6		23	1			24	30
30th Ingham	19	1	2		22	3	40		9		52	74
31st St. Clair	19	1			20		37				37	57
32nd Gogebic/Ontonagon	2				2		4				4	6
33rd Charlevoix	4				4		3				3	7
34th Arenac/Ogemaw Roscommon	4				4		18				18	22
35th Shiawassee	1		3		4		14				14	18
36th Van Buren	5				5		9		1	2	12	17
37th Calhoun	13	1	1		15		40		9		49	64
38th Monroe	1	1			2		17		4		21	23
39th Lenawee			1		1		22		2		24	25
40th Lapeer	1				1		11		1		12	13

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	CLAIM					APPLICATION						Grand Total
	Trials	Resent	PV	Other	Total	Trials	Pleas	Resent	PV	Other	Total	
41st Dickinson/Iron Menominee					0		3		2		5	5
42nd Midland	3				3		3		1		4	7
43rd Cass	4		1		5		21		2	1	24	29
44th Livingston	11				11		27		13		40	51
45th St. Joseph	3				3		6	2			8	11
46th Crawford/Kalkaska Otsego	8				8	1	16	1	3		21	29
47th Delta	5				5		4				4	9
48th Allegan	4	1			5		18	2	3		23	28
49th Mecosta/Osceola	3	1			4		13		4		17	21
50th Chippewa/Mackinaw	5		1		6		23		1	1	25	31
51st Lake/Mason	2				2		8		3		11	13
52nd Huron	2				2	1	5		1		7	9
53rd Cheboygan	2				2		11				11	13

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
ASSIGNED APPEALS BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	CLAIM					APPLICATION						Grand Total
	Trials	Resent	PV	Other	Total	Trials	Pleas	Resent	PV	Other	Total	
54th Tuscola	6				6		12		14		26	32
55th Clare/Gladwin	2				2		15		4		19	21
56th Eaton	9		2		11		15		14		29	40
57th Emmet	7		1		8		12				12	20
ALL CIRCUITS TOTALS	811	54	22	0	887	88	1868	50	301	11	2318	3205

E:\ANNUAL REPORTS\ANNUAL REPORT 2010\ByJuris.qpw

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
MAACS ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
1st Hillsdale				6	3		9				0				0	9
2nd Berrien				27	27		54				0		2	8	10	64
3rd Wayne		3		82	220	17	319	25	30		55	26	17	158	201	578
4th Jackson				17	15	1	33		1		1	1	1	13	15	49
5th Barry				6	5		11				0				0	11
6th Oakland		1		35	127	3	165		1		1	2	9	46	57	224
7th Genesee		1		29	37	3	69				0		1	26	27	97
8th Ionia/Montcalm				7	5		12				0	2	1		3	15
9th Kalamazoo				19	34		53				0	1	3	16	20	73
10th Saginaw				21	54	4	79				0	1	2	20	23	102
11th Alger/Luce Schoolcraft				1	4		5				0	1			1	6
12th Baraga/Houghton/ Keweenaw							0				0			2	2	2
13th Antrim/Grand Traverse/Leelanau				7	8	1	16				0		1	3	4	20

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
MAACS ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
14th Muskegon				4	26		30				0	1	2	6	9	39
15th Branch				10	4		14				0	1			1	15
16th Macomb				34	62	2	98				0	1	5	24	30	128
17th Kent				66	65	3	134	1	3		4	3	8	26	37	175
18th Bay				11	7		18				0		2	2	4	22
19th Benzie/Manistee				4	1		5				0				0	5
20th Ottawa				2	4		6				0	1		6	7	13
21st Isabella				14	7	1	22				0		1		1	23
22nd Washtenaw				42	16		58		2		2	2	3	9	14	74
23rd Iosco/Oscoda				8	6		14				0			2	2	16
24th Sanilac				1			1				0				0	1
25th Marquette				2	1		3				0		1	1	2	5
26th Alcona/Alpena/ Montmorency/ Presque Isle				4	5		9				0		1		1	10

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
MAACS ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
27th Newaygo/Oceana				3	8	2	13				0	1		2	3	16
28th Missaukee/Wexford				3	5		8				0			1	1	9
29th Clinton/Gratiot				6	11		17				0			3	3	20
30th Ingham				12	21	1	34				0	2	4	10	16	50
31st St. Clair				7	20	1	28		1		1		3	7	10	39
32nd Gogebic/Ontonagon				3			3				0			2	2	5
33rd Charlevoix				2			2				0		1	1	2	4
34th Arenac/Ogemaw Roscommon				4	9		13				0		1	2	3	16
35th Shiawassee				2	6		8				0		1		1	9
36th Van Buren		2		4	4		8				0			3	3	13
37th Calhoun				20	16	1	37				0		1	8	9	46
38th Monroe				10	6	1	17				0				0	17
39th Lenawee				14	1		15				0				0	15

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
MAACS ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
40th Lapeer				2	7		9				0		1		1	10
41st Dickinson/Iron Menominee				3	1		4				0				0	4
42nd Midland				3			3				0	1			1	4
43rd Cass				10	8		18		1		1		1	2	3	22
44th Livingston				7	18		25		2		2		1	4	5	32
45th St. Joseph					5		5				0	1	1		2	7
46th Crawford/Kalkaska Otsego				8	8		16				0	1	3	3	7	23
47th Delta				3	1		4				0		2	1	3	7
48th Allegan				3	10	1	14				0	2		1	3	17
49th Mecosta/Osceola				7	4		11				0		2	1	3	14
50th Chippewa/Mackinaw		1		9	12	1	22		1		1		1		1	25
51st Lake/Mason				3	4		7				0	1		1	2	9
52nd Huron				1	4		5				0			3	3	8

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
MAACS ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total		
				Level			Total	Level			Total	Level				Total	
				1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3			
53rd Cheboygan				5	4		9				0			2	2	11	
54th Tuscola				10	7		17				0		2	4	6	23	
55th Clare/Gladwin				2	11		13				0			2	2	15	
56th Eaton				10	16		26				0		1	7	8	34	
57th Emmet				6	6		12				0	1	1	3	5	17	
ALL CIRCUITS TOTALS	0	8	0	641	976	43	1660	26	42	0	68	53	87	441	581	2317	
				<u>Level 1</u>			720										
				<u>Level 2</u>			1105										
				<u>Level 3</u>			484										
Total							2309										

E:\ANNUAL REPORTS\ANNUAL REPORT 2010\AN_LEV_TYP_RPT.QPW

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
SADO ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
1st Hillsdale				1	2		3				0				0	3
2nd Berrien				13	6		19				0	3			3	22
3rd Wayne		1		51	56	6	113	10	19		29	15	17	39	71	214
4th Jackson				6	11		17				0			3	3	20
5th Barry					3		3				0				0	3
6th Oakland				16	37		53		1		1	3	2	13	18	72
7th Genesee				8	19		27	1			1			2	2	30
8th Ionia/Montcalm				4	8		12				0		2	1	3	15
9th Kalamazoo				9	7		16	1			1	1		4	5	22
10th Saginaw				7	16	1	24				0		1	7	8	32
11th Alger/Luce Schoolcraft				2	1		3				0			1	1	4
12th Baraga/Houghton/ Keweenaw							0				0			1	1	1
13th Antrim/Grand Traverse/Leelanau				8	6		14				0			1	1	15

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
SADO ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total		
				Level				Level				Level					
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total	
14th Muskegon				2	12		14		2			2	1		2	3	19
15th Branch				6	2		8					0		1		1	9
16th Macomb				12	29		41					0	1	2	9	12	53
17th Kent				22	24	1	47		1			1	2	2	5	9	57
18th Bay				5	5		10					0		1	1	2	12
19th Benzie/Manistee		1		1	1		2					0		1		1	4
20th Ottawa				1	1		2					0			2	2	4
21st Isabella				7			7					0			2	2	9
22nd Washtenaw				15	6		21	1	1			2		3	1	4	27
23rd Iosco/Oscoda				4	4		8					0			1	1	9
24th Sanilac				2			2					0		1		1	3
25th Marquette					2		2					0			1	1	3
26th Alcona/Alpena/ Montmorency/ Presque Isle				1	4		5					0				0	5

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
SADO ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
27th Newaygo/Oceana				3	1		4				0		1		1	5
28th Missaukee/Wexford				4	2		6				0			1	1	7
29th Clinton/Gratiot				2	5		7				0	2		1	3	10
30th Ingham				9	9		18				0	1		5	6	24
31st St. Clair					10		10				0		3	5	8	18
32nd Gogebic/Ontonagon				1			1				0				0	1
33rd Charlevoix					1		1				0	1		1	2	3
34th Arenac/Ogemaw Roscommon				2	3		5				0		1		1	6
35th Shiawassee				4	5		9				0				0	9
36th Van Buren				1	1		2		1		1			1	1	4
37th Calhoun				8	6		14				0		1	3	4	18
38th Monroe				4	1		5				0			1	1	6
39th Lenawee				7	3		10				0				0	10
40th Lapeer				1	2		3				0				0	3

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
SADO ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
41st Dickinson/Iron Menominee				1			1				0				0	1
42nd Midland					1		1				0			2	2	3
43rd Cass		1		4	2		6				0				0	7
44th Livington				8	7		15		1		1			3	3	19
45th St. Joseph				1	2		3				0			1	1	4
46th Crawford/Kalkaska Otsego					4		4				0	1		1	2	6
47th Delta							0				0		1	1	2	2
48th Allegan				6	4		10				0			1	1	11
49th Mecosta/Osceola				4	3		7				0				0	7
50th Chippewa/Mackinaw				1	2		3			1	1			2	2	6
51st Lake/Mason				3	1		4				0				0	4
52nd Huron					1		1				0				0	1
53rd Cheboygan				2			2				0				0	2

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
SADO ASSIGNED APPEALS BY CASE TYPE AND LEVEL
JANUARY 1, 2014 TO DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Circuit	MISC.	MOTION/ RELIEF	PROSEC. APPEALS	PLEA/PV/ RESENTENCING				WT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING/INT/PPO				JURY			Grand Total	
				Level				Level				Level				
				1	2	3	Total	1	2	3	Total	1	2	3		Total
54th Tuscola				4	5		9				0				0	9
55th Clare/Gladwin				3	3		6				0				0	6
56th Eaton				3	2		5				0			1	1	6
57th Emmet				1			1				0			2	2	3
ALL CIRCUITS TOTALS	0	3	0	290	348	8	646	13	26	1	40	31	40	128	199	888
Level 1				334												
Level 2				414												
Level 3				137												
Total				885												

E:\ANNUAL REPORTS\ANNUAL REPORT 2010\SADO_AN_LEV_TYP_RPT.QPW

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
RESENTENCINGS
JANUARY 1, 2014 to DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<u>CIRCUIT</u>	<u>TOTAL CASES</u>	<u>LEVEL I</u>					<u>LEVEL II</u>					<u>LEVEL III</u>
		<u>PL</u>	<u>PVP</u>	<u>PVH</u>	<u>JT</u>	<u>WT</u>	<u>PL</u>	<u>PVP</u>	<u>PVH</u>	<u>JT</u>	<u>WT</u>	<u>JT</u>
2	1	1										
3	36	4			1	1	6			1		23
4	1											1
6	6	1	1				1			1		2
7	9			1			4			1		3
8	1						1					
9	8	2	1				2	2	1			
10	9						4					5
11	1									1		
13	1						1					
18	3	1	2									
21	1											1
22	1	1										
27	2											2
29	1	1										
30	1											1
31	1											1
37	1											1
38	1											1
45	2						2					
46	1						1					
48	3	1					1					1
49	1						1					

**MICHIGAN APPELLATE ASSIGNED COUNSEL SYSTEM
RESENTENCINGS
JANUARY 1, 2014 to DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<u>CIRCUIT</u>	<u>TOTAL CASES</u>	LEVEL I					LEVEL II					LEVEL III
		<u>PL</u>	<u>PVP</u>	<u>PVH</u>	<u>JT</u>	<u>WT</u>	<u>PL</u>	<u>PVP</u>	<u>PVH</u>	<u>JT</u>	<u>WT</u>	<u>JT</u>
	TOTAL	LEVEL I		LEVEL II			LEVEL III					
PLEAS	34	12	22									
PVP	6	4	2									
PVH	2	1	1									
JT	46	1	4	41								
WT	1	1	0									